IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1229 OF 2006

Vijay Vinayak Korgaonkar .. Applicant.
V/s
The State of Maharashtra .. Respondent.

Mr.Rajeev Sawant & Associates for the applicant.

Mr.Y.S. Shinde, A.P.P. for the State.

CORAM : V.M. KANADE, J.
DATE : 27TH MARCH, 2006.

P.C.

. Heard the learned Counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the State.

2. The applicant has an apprehension that he is likely to be arrested in connection with C.R.No.32 of 2006 registered with Byculla Police Station for offences punishable under sections 465, 467, 468, 471, 419, 420 r/w section 34 of Indian Penal Code.

3. It is alleged that the complainant was given an assurance that the premises would be allotted to her from 10% Government quota and for that purpose an amount of Rs. five lakhs was demanded, which was paid to the

original accused Nos. 1 and 2. The premises was not allotted to the complainant so far. During the course of investigation it is transpired that the applicant had given certain documents which was forged, the police therefore, required custody of the present applicant who is alleged to be the author of the said document. In view of these facts, it is not possible to grant anticipatory bail to the applicant as his custodial interrogation is necessary.

4. Application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

5. It is clarified that if application for regular bail is preferred by the applicant, the same may be considered on merits and the Court may not be influenced by any observation made by this Court while rejecting his application for an anticipatory bail.

[V.M. KANADE, J.]